

Gary M. Hoffman (Pro Hac Vice)
Kenneth W. Brothers (Pro Hac Vice)
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN
& OSHINSKY, LLP
2101 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037-1526
Phone (202) 785-9700
Fax (202) 887-0689

Edward A. Meilman (Pro Hac Vice)
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN
& OSHINSKY, LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-2714
Phone (212) 835-1400
Fax (212) 997-9880

Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715
Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008
ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, RUBIN & DEMAINE
177 Post Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, California 94108
Phone (415) 421-7151
Fax (415) 362-8064

Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

RICOH COMPANY LTD.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, ET AL.

Defendants

)
) Case No.: C-03-4669-MJJ (EMC)
)
)
)

) **RICOH'S REPLY TO AMENDED**
) **ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS OF**
) **DEFENDANT MATROX**
) **INTERNATIONAL CORP. TO**
) **AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT**
) **INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Ricoh Company, Ltd. ("Rico") for its Reply to the Answer and Counterclaims of
Defendant Matrox International Corp. To Amended Complaint For Patent Infringement (hereinafter
"Amended Answer and Counterclaim of Matrox Int'l"), alleges as follows:

1 1. Paragraphs 1-67 of the Answer and Counterclaim of Matrox Int'l do not require a
2 response; nevertheless and to the extent necessary, Plaintiff Ricoh asserts all defenses and affirmation
3 defenses available to it, including but not limited to failure to state a claim upon which relief can be
4 granted and lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

5 2. Plaintiff Ricoh admits the allegations of paragraph 68 of the Answer and Counterclaim
6 of Matrox Int'l.

7 3. Plaintiff Ricoh admits the allegations of paragraph 69 of the Answer and Counterclaim
8 of Matrox Int'l.

9 4. With respect to paragraph 70 of the Answer and Counterclaim of Matrox Int'l, Ricoh
10 admits there is a justicable controversy insofar as the counterclaims relate to claims 13-17 of the '432
11 Patent but denies there is a justicable controversy to the extent any of the counterclaims relate to any
12 of the other claims of the '432 Patent and further states that the Court lacks jurisdiction with respect to
13 such counterclaims.

14 5. Plaintiff Ricoh admits this Court has personal jurisdiction over Ricoh and otherwise
15 denies the allegations of paragraph 71 of the Answer and Counterclaim of Matrox Int'l.

16 6. Plaintiff Ricoh admits venue is proper in this district and otherwise denies the
17 allegations of paragraph 72 of the Answer and Counterclaim of Matrox Int'l.

18 7. Plaintiff Ricoh admits the allegations of paragraph 73 of the Answer and Counterclaim
19 of Matrox Int'l insofar as they relate to claims 13-17 of the '432 Patent but denies there is a justicable
20 controversy as to any of the other claims of the '432 Patent and the Court therefore lacks jurisdiction
21 with respect to such other claims.

22 8. In response to paragraph 74 of the Answer and Counterclaim of Matrox Int'l, Plaintiff
23 Ricoh incorporates its responses to paragraph 68-73 of the Answer and Counterclaim of Matrox Int'l
24 as if fully set forth herein, denies the allegations in paragraphs 58-68 of the Answer and Counterclaim
25 of Matrox Int'l; and notes there are no allegations requiring response in paragraph 1-57 of the Answer
26 and Counterclaim of Matrox Int'l but nevertheless and to the extent necessary, admits or denies or
27 denies information and belief sufficient to form a belief, as appropriate, as to any statements therein.

1 that Matrox Int'l has infringed the '432 Patent;

2 that Matrox Int'l, its agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns and those
3 acting, or purporting to act, in privity or in concert with Matrox Int'l, be preliminarily and
4 permanently enjoined from further infringement of the '432 Patent;

5 that Matrox Int'l account for and pay to Ricoh all damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including
6 enhanced damages, caused by the infringement of the '432 Patent, and attorneys' fees pursuant to 35
7 U.S.C. § 285;

8 that Ricoh be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to it by
9 reason of Matrox Int'l's infringement of the '432 Patent;

10 that Matrox Int'l's Counterclaim be dismissed with prejudice;

11 that costs be awarded to Ricoh; and
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 that Ricoh be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper
2 under the current circumstances.

3
4 Dated: May 2, 2006

Respectfully submitted,
Ricoh Company, Ltd.

5
6 By: /s/ Kenneth Brothers

7 Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715
8 Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008
9 ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM,
10 RUBIN & DEMAINE
11 177 Post Street, Suite 300
12 San Francisco, California 94108
13 Phone: (415) 421-7151
14 Fax: (415) 362-8064

15 Gary M. Hoffman
16 Kenneth W. Brothers
17 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &
18 OSHINSKY LLP
19 2101 L Street NW
20 Washington, D.C. 20037-1526
21 Telephone: (202) 785-9700
22 Facsimile: (202) 887-0689

23 Edward A. Meilman
24 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &
25 OSHINSKY LLP
26 1177 Avenue of the Americas
27 New York, New York 10036
28 Telephone: (212) 896-5471
Facsimile: (212) 997-9880

Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd.